private sector. In fact, this action actually involves a reduction in burden and overall cost.

In addition to the consultations prior to proposal, EPA has had several informal consultations regarding the proposed rule with some States through the EPA regional offices and at regularly scheduled State meetings. No significant issues or information were identified as a result of EPA's discussion with the States.

### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Labeling, Occupational safety and health, Pesticides and pest.

Dated: April 24, 1995.

### Lynn R. Goldman,

Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 95–10873 Filed 5–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 156

[OPP-00399A; FRL-4950-8]

Worker Protection Standard; Reduced Restricted Entry Intervals for Certain Pesticides

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Policy Statement.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is issuing a final policy statement on "Reduced Restricted Entry Intervals for Certain Pesticides." EPA will allow registrants to reduce the interim Worker Protection Standard (WPS) restricted entry intervals (REIs) from 12 to 4 hours for certain low risk pesticides. EPA developed a two Tiered screening process to determine the eligibility of all Toxicity Category III and IV pesticides. The first Tier screened all Toxicity III and IV active ingredients against the low toxicity criteria. This policy statement contains a candidate list of those active ingredients that meet the low toxicity criteria, and may be eligible for reduced REIs. End use products containing active ingredients that appear on the list are to be evaluated by the criteria set in the second Tier of the screening process, described in this policy, to determine if the current REI may be reduced to 4 hours

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This policy will become effective May 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Smith or Ameesha Mehta, Office of

Pesticide Programs (7506C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Mall #2, Rm. 1121, Arlington, VA, (703) 305–7371, smith.judy@epamail.epa.gov or mehta.ameesha@epamail.epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Agency is issuing a final policy statement that allows registrants to reduce the current interim Worker Protection Standard (WPS) restricted entry intervals (REIs) from 12 to 4 hours for certain low risk pesticides. This policy is one of a series of Agency actions since the publication of the final WPS in August 1992. In addition, EPA is also publishing final actions regarding: (1) Worker training requirements; (2) allowing early entry for irrigation activities; (3) allowing provisions for limited contact activities; and, (4) reduced requirements for crop advisors. Final determinations on the other four actions mentioned above are being published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register.

## I. Summary of the Policy

EPA will permit registrants to reduce the current interim WPS REIs from 12 to 4 hours for pesticides which contain specific active ingredients and which meet certain additional criteria. Using the criteria described in Unit III of this policy statement, the Agency screened a total of 495 active ingredients and determined that over 100 active ingredients met the low toxicity criteria. As a result, end use products containing these active ingredients may be eligible for a reduced REI. Unit IV of this policy statement lists the candidate active ingredients that the Agency has determined meet the low toxicity criteria.

Registrants of end use products which are subject to WPS, and which contain only these active ingredients may apply the criteria in Unit VI of this policy statement to determine whether their end use product qualifies for the reduced REI. To revise labeling to reflect the reduced REI, the Agency will allow registrants to use a streamlined notification process process which is described in this policy statement until December 31, 1995. After that date, registrants must use the existing registration label amendment process to submit an application for a reduced REI. Such applications would be evaluated and approved on the basis of the criteria provided in this policy statement.

If the Agency becomes aware of information and determines at any time that the reduced REI is not appropriate,

EPA will inform and, after opportunity for discussion, may direct the registrant to revise the REI on the label.

If any person believes that an active ingredient, not listed as a candidate for reduced REI in Unit IV of this policy statement, meets the low toxicity criteria of this policy statement, and that the end use products containing that active ingredient should be eligible for a reduced REI, the registrant should contact EPA at the address provided in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT unit.

## II. Background

The 1992 WPS established an interim minimum REI of 12 hours for all end use pesticide products for agricultural uses. Longer interim REIs were established for more toxic products. Many commenters, during the promulgation of the rule, stated that it was difficult to determine when the sprays have dried or dusts have settled; thus, judgment was required to assess when such REI had expired. Other commenters requested the Agency establish minimum REIs to protect workers against possible unknown chronic or delayed health effects as a product-specific health effect evaluation would take the Agency a long time to conduct. Therefore, the 12-hour minimum REI was established for two reasons: (1) To replace previous REI which was the statement "when sprays have dried and dusts have settled"; and (2) to incorporate a margin of safety for unknown chronic or delayed health

Since 1992, numerous registrants and pesticide users have asked EPA to consider reducing the minimum 12hour REI for lower toxicity products that they believe do not need a 12-hour REI to protect workers. In response to these concerns, on January 11, 1995, the Agency published a proposal (60 FR 2848) for public comment. The January proposal contained 75 candidate active ingredients that were eligible for 4-hour REIs. Many comments stated that all Toxicity Category III's and IV's should be included on the list. EPA screened a total of 495 WPS in-scope active ingredients, and has added 39 more active ingredients to the candidate list.

## III. Policy and Rationale for Low Toxicity Criteria

The 1992 WPS revised a 1974 regulation that expressed REIs in terms of the statement "when sprays have dried and dusts have settled." This phrasing was sufficiently vague to cause both enforcement problems and concerns about necessary margins of safety for chronic or delayed health

effects. The 1992 revision addresses these problems and concerns by establishing an interim minimum REI of 12 hours for all end use pesticide products for agricultural uses. The 12hour figure was applied because data indicated that many of the residue concerns were not present after 12

The 12-hour default covers a very large number of active ingredients, with only active ingredients in Toxicity Categories I and II (more toxic) having longer REIs under the WPS. Some of the active ingredients subject to the 12-hour REI, however, have such low levels of toxicity as to pose minimal risk to workers, even if a fair degree of exposure occurred. These active ingredients are classified as: microbial pesticides (living organisms, including protozoa, fungi, bacteria, and viruses); biochemical pesticides (materials that occur in nature and possess a non-toxic mode of action to the target pest(s); and certain conventional agricultural chemicals.

Therefore, EPA developed screening criteria to identify those active ingredients with low toxicities from the universe of all Toxicity Categories III and IV active ingredients covered by the WPS. The Agency was concerned that the active ingredient should not be acutely toxic and have no other associated developmental, reproductive, neurotoxic, or carcinogenic effects. Additionally, the active ingredient should not be a cholinesterase inhibitor (N-methyl carbamate and organophosphate) since those chemicals are known to cause a large number of pesticide poisonings and have the potential for serious neurological effects. Finally, no adverse incident data must be present for those active ingredients.

For the few active ingredients where limited data were available, EPA evaluated data on chemically similar active ingredients (analogs which EPA believes are predictive of the toxicity of those active ingredients) and used that data as a surrogate. Examples of such active ingredients are, 2,4-D Isopropyl, and 2,4-D, Isooctyl(2-octyl).

The Agency believes that reducing the REIs for pesticides which meet the criteria below would still provide adequate protection to workers. Moreover, reducing the REI would provide agricultural producers with greater flexibility and may promote the use of these inherently less toxic products over those with greater risks and longer REIs. The Agency concludes that the modification of the REIs will not result in unreasonable risk to workers.

Accordingly, the Agency established the following criteria to select the active ingredients with low toxicity, which would be eligible for shorter REIs.

1. The active ingredient is in Toxicity Category III or IV based upon data for acute dermal toxicity, acute inhalation toxicity, primary skin irritation, and primary eye irritation. Acute oral toxicity data were used if no acute dermal data were available. If EPA lacked data on primary skin irritation, acute inhalation, or primary eye irritation of the active ingredient, in question the Agency reviewed data on that end-point for similar active ingredients (analogs). If the analog was in Toxicity Category I or II, EPA excluded such active ingredients from consideration for the reduced REI.

2. The active ingredient is not a dermal sensitizer (or in the case of biochemical and microbial active ingredients, no known reports of

hypersensitivity exist).
3. The active ingredient is not a cholinesterase inhibitor (N-methyl carbamate or organophosphate) as these chemicals are known to cause large numbers of pesticide poisonings and have the potential for serious neurological effects.

4. No known reproductive, developmental, carcinogenic, or neurotoxic effects have been associated with the active ingredient. If active ingredients did not have data available for these chronic health effects, EPA considered data on appropriate chemical and biological analogs. Active ingredients that have been classified as carcinogenic in Category B (probable human carcinogen) or Category CQ3 (possible human carcinogen, for which quantification of potential risk is considered appropriate), or are scheduled for EPA's Health Effects Division Cancer Peer Review process, were omitted from consideration.

5. EPA does not possess incident information (illness or injury reports) that are "definitely" or "probably" related to post-application exposures to the active ingredient.

6. Some active ingredients are not included in Unit IV of this policy statement because they have been the subject of a reregistration eligibility decision document (RED) which concluded that a 12-hour or longer REI was necessary to protect workers. Active ingredients with REIs established during the recent reregistration activities are not eligible for reduced REIs through the notification process. Although a RED has been completed on Glyphosate, the REI for Glyphosate was set utilizing end use product data, and hence, the Agency will add it to the candidate active

ingredient list. However, the registrant for those end use products must meet criteria listed in Unit VI of this policy statement to be eligible for a 4-hour REI reduction.

It should also be noted that WPS does not apply to pheromones used in insect traps.

## **IV. Candidate Active Ingredients Meeting Low Toxicity Criteria**

The following is a list of 114 active ingredients currently subject to the WPS requirements that meet the lower toxicity criteria.

Acetylchitin

Agrobacterium radiobacter

Ampelomyces quisqualis isolate M-10

Azadirachtin (neem extract)

B.t. subsp. aizawai

B.t. subsp. aizawai strain GC-91

B.t. subsp. israelensis B.t. subsp. kurstaki

B.t. subsp. kurstaki HD-263

B.t. subsp. kurstaki strain EG2348

B.t. subsp. kurstaki strain EG2371

B.t. subsp. kurstaki strain EG2424 B.t. subsp. san diego

B.t. subsp. tenebrionis

Bacillus popilliae and B. lentimorbus

Bacillus sphaericus

Bacillus subtilis GB03

Bacillus subtilis MBI 600

BNOA (b-naphythoxy acetic acid)

**Borax** 

Calcium hypochlorite

Calcium oxytetracycline

Calcium thiosulfate

Candida oleophila Capsicum oleoresin

Checkmate peach twig borer pheromone

Chitosan

Chlorsulfuron

Colletotricum gleosporoides

Copper as ammonia complex

Copper salts of fatty acids

Cytokinin

2,4-DB, isooctyl

Diatomaceous earth

Disodium octaborate tetrahydrate

Disparlure Ethylene

Ethoxyquin

Farnesol

Fatty acids, C8-12, Methyl esters

Fenridazone-potassium

Fluazifop-butyl

Fluazifop-r-butyl

Gibberellic acid

Gibberellins A4 and A7

Gliocladium virens G-21

Glyphosate, ammonium

Glyphosate, isopropylamine

Glyphosate, sodium

Gossyplure: hexadecadien-1-ol acetate

Gypsy moth npv Heavy aromatic naphtha

Imazethapyr

Imazethapyr, ammonium salt

Indole-3-butyric acid

Lagendidium giganteum, mycelium

Mefluidide, diethanolamine

Mefluidide, potassium salt

Methyl nonyl ketone

Metsulfuron-methyl

Milky spore

Mineral oil

Muscalure, component of (e)-9-tricosene Muscalure, component of (z)-9-tricosene

N-6-Benzyladenine

NAA, Ethyl ester

Nerolidol

Nicosulfuron

Nosema locustae

Octyl bicycloheptenedicarboxamide

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride

Paradichlorobenzene

Paraffin oils

Periplanone B

Polyhedral inclusion bodies of Autographa californica

Polyhedral inclusion bodies of Heliothis zea NPV or Helicoverpa zea NPV

Polyhedral inclusion bodies of beet armyworm npv

Polyhedral inclusion bodies, Neodiprion sertifer NVP

Potassium gibberellate

Promalin

Pseudomonas cepacia type wiscons.

Pseudomonas fluorescens

Pseudomonas fluorescens A506

Pseudomonas fluorescens EG-1053

Pseudomonas fluorescens strain NCIB

Pseudomonas syringae

Puccinia canaliculata (Schweinitz)

Rimsulfuron DPX-E9636

Ryania speciosa

Rvanodine

s-Kinoprene

s-Methoprene

Sesame plant, ground

Siduron

Silica gel

Silicon dioxide

Sodium carboxymethylcellulose

Sodium metaborate

Soybean oil

Streptomyces griseoviridis

Streptomycin

Streptomycin sesquisulfate

Sulfometuron-methyl

Thifensulfuron-methyl

Thiobencarb

Tomato pinworm (e)-4-tridecen-1-yl acetate Tomato pinworm (e)-11-tetradecenyl

acetate

Triasulfuron

1-Triacontanol

Trichoderma harzianum var. rifai (KRL–AG2)

Trichoderma harzianum (ATCC 20476) Trichoderma polysporum (ATCC 20475) Tussock moth npv

# V. Procedure for Adding Active Ingredients To List

If a registrant believes an active ingredient not on the candidate list meets the criteria set forth in Unit III of this policy statement, and that end use products containing that active ingredient should be eligible for a reduced REI, the registrant should contact EPA at the address given in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT unit, before December 31,

1995. To be considered for a reduced REI, the active ingredient must meet the criteria outlined in this policy, based upon studies determined by the Agency to be acceptable. To use the streamlined notification process, the registrant is required to submit the studies or cite their MRID numbers and provide copies of Agency reviews that confirm that the criteria are met.

If a registrant believes a new active ingredient may meet the criteria set forth in Unit III of this policy statement, the registrant should request that EPA apply the screening criteria for the reduced REI and reference this policy in the application for registration. Registrants having pending applications may also request the reduced 4-hour REI by amending their application for registration. The registrant must also cite this policy and indicate that a reduced REI of 4 hours is being sought. Such pending applications will be considered against the criteria of this policy statement, and, if acceptable, will be permitted the reduced REI. The screening criterion for incident data would not apply to new active ingredients.

If a registrant wishes to add a new WPS use to an existing WPS product, and the active ingredient and product would qualify for a 4-hour REI, the registrant must use the standard label amendment process.

After December 31, 1995, registrants must use the existing label amendment process to request a reduction in a REI. In the future, the Agency will continue to apply the lower toxicity criteria to identify active ingredients which may be eligible for the 4-hour REI during both registration and reregistration process. The Agency will update the list of the candidate active ingredients periodically.

## VI. Procedures for Determining Eligibility of End-Use Products

If the registrant wishes to qualify for REI reduction of an end use product(s) that contains any active ingredient(s) included on the candidate list in Unit IV of this policy statement or any subsequent update, the registrant is responsible for determining if that end use product(s) qualifies. To qualify, the following criteria must be met:

- 1. The end-use product is in Toxicity Category III or IV for all of the following acute toxicity studies: acute dermal toxicity, acute inhalation toxicity, primary skin irritation, and primary eye irritation.
- 2. Based on the required sensitization or hypersensitivity studies, the end use product is not a sensitizer and there

have been no reports of hypersensitivity.

- 3. The registrant has no data indicating, and is not aware of, adverse health effects associated with the end use product, e.g., carcinogenicity, neurotoxicity, developmental effects, or reproductive effects.
- 4. The registrant is not aware and has not been informed of incident information (illness or injury reports) that are "definitely" or "probably" (as defined by the California Incident Reporting System) related to postapplication exposures to the product.

### VII. Procedure for Notification/ Certification

### A. Notification Statement

If a registrant determines that an end use product qualifies for a reduced REI, the registrant may notify EPA using the following streamlined notification procedure. The registrant would submit, for each product, to the Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division:

- 1. An Application for Registration (EPA Form 8570–1), identified as a notification under this policy.
- 2. One copy of the current product label, clearly marked to highlight the interim WPS REI.
- 3. Two copies of a revised label, clearly marked to highlight the revised REI.
- 4. In order to certify to the Agency that the end use product meets all of the criteria outlined above, the registrant must submit the following proof required to demonstrate that the product is eligible for the reduced REI:
- i. The registrant must submit the required studies, and cite the MRID numbers for all studies submitted. EPA need not have completed reviews of these studies.
- ii. If EPA has permitted the use of studies performed on a substantially similar end use product (analog) to fulfill the acute toxicity data requirements, then the registrant must submit proof that EPA has accepted such data to satisfy end use product data requirements.
- iii. If EPA has waived a data requirement for one or more of the required studies, the registrant must submit proof that the requirement for data was waived.

**Note:** All studies required for evaluating the acute dermal, acute inhalation, eye irritation, skin irritation or skin sensitization/ hypersensitization on the end use product must have been submitted, cited, or waived by EPA; only then, can the REI be reduced for the end use product under this notification procedure.

## 5. The following certification statement:

I certify that this notification is complete in accordance with the provisions of EPA's reduced REI policy and that no other changes have been made to the labeling or the confidential statement of formula of this product. I further understand that if this notification does not comply with the terms of EPA's reduced REI policy, this product may be in violation of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and I may be subject to enforcement action and penalties under sections 12 and 14 of FIFRA. I understand that the Agency may direct a change in the REI of a product subject to this notice if the Agency determines that a change is appropriate, and that products may be subject to regulatory and enforcement action if the appropriate changes are not made.

Notifications should be sent to: U.S. Postal Service Deliveries, Document Processing Desk (WPS:95– 1), Office of Pesticide Programs (7504C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.

Personal/Courier Service Deliveries (Monday thru Friday, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. except Federal holidays), Document Processing Desk (WPS:95– 1), Office of Pesticide Programs (7504C), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 266A, Crystal Mall 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

### B. Final Printed Labeling

For each product, final printed labeling must be submitted either as part of the notification or separately in accordance with PR Notice 82–2, before the product may be distributed or sold.

## VIII. Sale and Distribution of Pesticide Products Qualifying for a Reduced REI

After the registrant has submitted the information and certification specified in Unit VII of this document, the registrant may sell or distribute products bearing the registrant-certified revised labeling that was submitted to the Agency.

Such registrants may revise labeling of products already in channels of trade through stickering or full relabeling. Stickering, or full relabeling, may occur at sites where product is not under direct registrant control (such as distribution or retail sites) by any person the registrant designates and without registration of the site as a pesticide producing establishment. However, the registrant retains full responsibility for ensuring that such labeling modifications are carried out correctly.

## IX. Agency Determination to Revise the REI

FIFRA section 6(a)(2) requires that registrants submit to the Agency 'additional factual information regarding unreasonable adverse effects on the environment of the pesticide.' Registrants may become aware of information or data concerning adverse effects, illnesses or injury associated with exposure of an agricultural worker to a pesticide product or its use, including those resulting from postapplication exposures. The Agency generally regards this information as relevant to the Agency's on-going assessment of the risks associated with pesticide products.

If, on the basis of information received from a registrant or other sources, the Agency determines that the REI should be increased, the Agency will inform the registrant of that determination and of the new REI to replace the existing REI. The Agency will also inform the registrant at that time of actions, if any, that must be taken with respect to existing stocks of product labeled with a 4-hour REI.

Reregistration decisions or decisions resulting from other Agency review processes may supersede this policy statement. Please note that REIs established through the streamlined notification procedure in this policy are considered to be interim REIs. Once an active ingredient has gone through the reregistration process, it may result in an active ingredient either being removed or added to the candidate list, and a subsequent change in the length of the REI.

## X. Compliance

Registrants are responsible for the content and accuracy of labeling and for compliance with labeling requirements. The Agency will monitor selected submissions to verify compliance with the required criteria in this policy statement. Registrants that submit notifications which do not comply with this policy or EPA's requirements may be subject to enforcement action under FIFRA sections 12 and 14.

Registrants electing to sell or distribute products bearing registrant-verified revised labeling are responsible for correcting any errors on the proposed label. In most cases, incorrectly reducing the REI from 12 hours to 4 hours would be considered a serious error possibly requiring stopsale orders, recalls, or civil penalties. A serious error is one which may create a potential for harm to workers, handlers,

or other persons, or the environment, or when the errors prevent achievement of the basic goals of the WPS or FIFRA.

## **XI. Public Docket**

A record has been established for this policy statement under docket number "OPP-00399" A public version of this record, which does not include any information claimed as confidential business information, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Rm. 1132, Office of Pesticide Programs (7506C), Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

### XII. Consultations

### A. Executive Order 12866

This action was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Any comments or changes made during OMB's review have been documented in the public record.

#### B. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, which the President signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA has assessed the effects of this administrative decision on State, local, and tribal governments, and the private sector. This action does not result in the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any State, local or tribal governments, or by anyone in the private sector. In fact, this action actually involves a reduction in burden and overall cost.

In addition to the consultations prior to proposal, EPA has had several informal consultations regarding the proposed rule with some States through the EPA regional offices and at regularly scheduled State meetings. No significant issues or information were identified as a result of EPA's discussion with the States.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 156

Environmental protection, Labeling, Occupational safety and health, Pesticides and pest, Reporting and recordkeeping requirement.

Dated: April 26, 1995.

#### Daniel M. Barolo,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. 95–10876 Filed 5–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F